	Case 1:20-cv-00453-JLT-CDB Documer	nt 30 Filed 12/19/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	KEVIN CURLEY,	Case No.: 1:20-cv-0453 JLT CDB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS, DISMISSING THE ACTION FOR FAILURE TO STATE A COGNIZABLE CLAIM, AND DIRECTING THE CLERK OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE
13	v.	
14	CLARK, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 29)
16	Kevin Curley seeks to hold the defendants liable for civil rights violations pursuant to 42	
17		
18	U.S.C. § 1983. The assigned magistrate judge screened the allegations of Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a), and found Plaintiff failed to state a	
19	cognizable claim. The magistrate judge also determined amendment was futile and	
20	recommended the action be dismissed without leave to amend. (Doc. 29.)	
21	The Court served the Findings and Recommendations on Plaintiff and notified him that	
22		
23	that any objections were due within 14 days and that the "failure to file objections within the specified time may result in waiver of his rights on appeal." (Doc. 29 at 10-11, citing <i>Wilkerson v</i> .	
24	Wheeler, 772 F.3d 834, 839 (9th Cir. 2014); Baxter v. Sullivan, 923 F.2d 1391, 1394 (9th Cir.	
25		
26	1991).) Plaintiff did not file objections, and the time to do so expired. According to 28 U.S.C. & 636(b)(1)(C), this Court performed a do nove review of this	
27	According to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court performed a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, the Court concludes the Findings and	
28	case. Having carefully reviewed the matter, th	ic Court concludes the Findings and

Recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. The Court agrees further leave to amend is futile. See, e.g., Zucco Partners, LLC v. Digimarc Corp., 552 F.3d 981, 1008 (9th Cir. 2009) ("repeated failure to cure deficiencies" supports a conclusion "that any attempt to amend would be futile"). Thus, the Court **ORDERS**: 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued on November 20, 2023 (Doc. 29) are **ADOPTED** in full. 2. This action is **DISMISSED** for Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted. 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 19, 2023**

Case 1:20-cv-00453-JLT-CDB Document 30 Filed 12/19/23 Page 2 of 2